



*Building an evidence base for victim services*

## Grants to Address Trafficking within the Child Welfare Population

### **Author(s) of Instrument:**

Anne Fromknecht, MPH  
James Bell Associates

### **Key References:** Publications related to this instrument

James Bell Associates. (2016). *Grants to address trafficking within the child welfare population: Summary of program and evaluation plans*. Arlington, VA.

Fromknecht, A., & Ingoldsby, E. (2017). *Grants to address trafficking Within the child welfare population: Mid-cycle synthesis of process evaluation findings*. Arlington, VA: James Bell Associates.

### **Primary Use/Purpose:** This section describes what this instrument was designed to measure.

The purpose of this logic model was to represent the common activities, outputs, and outcomes being pursued by a group of nine grantees funded by the Children's Bureau to address trafficking within the child welfare population. The logic model was used to identify common data collection opportunities and to organize findings across grantees.

### **Background and Development:** How and why this instrument was developed.

An evaluation technical assistance provider developed the logic model with input from grantee evaluation teams.

**Link to Instrument:** Link to the instrument if available.

See attached.

**Submitted by:** Anne Fromknecht, MPH submitted this instrument to the CVR Instruments Collection and certified permission to publicly share this instrument.

**Cluster Logic Model: Grants to Address Trafficking Within the Child Welfare Population, 2014**

*The goal of the grants is to build greater awareness and a better response to the problem of child trafficking within the child welfare population.*

Process			Outcomes		
1.0 Inputs	2.0 Activities	3.0 Outputs	4.0 Short-Term Outcomes	5.0 Intermediate Outcomes	6.0 Long-Term Outcomes
1.1 Nine grantee projects 1.2 Multidisciplinary stakeholders from each grantee project 1.3 Support & leadership from federal project officers & CB 1.4 Evaluation TA from JBA 1.5 Peer learning among grantees 1.6 Collaboration with other CB initiatives 1.7 Written materials & resources	2.1 Statewide, cross-system, multidisciplinary partnerships are developed or enhanced 2.2 Policies are developed aimed at prevention, identification, & intervention for child welfare victims of trafficking 2.3 Child welfare staff are trained on how to identify & work with trafficking victims 2.4 Appropriate trauma-focused, & evidence-based programs (EBPs) are provided to trafficking victims 2.5 Databases are developed or enhanced to systematically track child welfare-involved youth who are victims of trafficking 2.6 Dissemination plans are developed to share lessons learned with a broad audience 2.7 Sustainability plans are developed to ensure projects continue after the grant period 2.8 Additional grant-specific activities are implemented	<b>3.1 Cross-system partnerships are established to develop coordinated responses &amp; practices</b> 3.2 Number of policies developed <b>3.3 Number of trainings conducted &amp; number of staff trained</b> <b>3.4 Number of trauma-focused services &amp; EBPs implemented</b> 3.5 Databases created & number of data elements available 3.6 Dissemination plans developed 3.7 Sustainability plans developed 3.8 Number of grant-specific activities implemented	<b>4.1 Improved infrastructure to provide a coordinated response to child trafficking</b> <b>4.2 Increased state-level &amp; local awareness of trafficked youth</b> 4.3 Proposed policies/bills drafted & presented to state legislature for approval 4.4 Increased knowledge of the needs of trafficked youth across systems <b>4.5 Improved ability to quickly identify trafficked victims</b> 4.6 Improved capacity of organizations to adequately serve trafficked youth 4.7 Increased accessibility of trauma-focused & evidence-based services for trafficked youth <b>4.8 Improved collection, sharing, &amp; use of data across system partners</b> 4.9 Data collection methods enhanced for children served by child welfare & contracted service providers 4.10 Increased capacity to contribute to & expand extant research on trafficked youth among grantees	<b>5.1 Decreased entry into trafficking among at-risk youth</b> <b>5.2 Improved identification of trafficked youth</b> <b>5.3 Improved cross-system response to child trafficking</b> 5.4 Policies adopted & proposed bills signed into law 5.5 Reduction in trauma for trafficked youth after they have been identified 5.6 Decreased number of days trafficked youth are missing from care 5.7 Increased reliable housing for trafficked youth 5.8 Increased number of trafficked youth with an adult mentor 5.9 Decreased number of criminal justice system contacts among trafficked youth <b>5.10 Increased resources for the scientific study of child trafficking</b>	<b>6.1 Decreased incidence of child trafficking</b> <b>6.2 Increased successful exits from trafficking for child welfare involved youth</b> <i>Well-Being</i> <b>6.3 Improved cognitive functioning among trafficked youth</b> <b>6.4 Improved physical health &amp; development among trafficked youth</b> <b>6.5 Improved emotional/behavioral functioning among trafficked youth</b> <b>6.6 Improved social functioning among trafficked youth</b>

Note: Common outputs and outcomes are shown in bold. Not all grantee projects will be able to collect and report data for long-term outcomes.